International Journal of Emerging Trends in Information Technology (IJEIT)
E-ISSN: XXXX-XXX
Vol. 1, Issue 1, April 2025 | pp. 13–27

Research Paper

The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Everyday Applications in Education

Luca Bianchi[1], Sofia Rossi[2]

[1] Department of Computer Science, University of Milan, Milan, Italy.
[2] Department of Information Engineering, University of Padua, Padua, Italy.

Received: April 01, 2025 / Accepted: April 15, 2025 / Published: April 24, 2025

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly transforming education by enabling novel teaching and learning methods. This study examines recent developments in AI applications within everyday educational settings, assessing their impact on instruction, student learning, and administrative processes. A systematic literature review of scholarly sources (2019–2024) was conducted following PRISMA guidelines. The review identified key AI-driven innovations in personalized learning, intelligent tutoring, automated assessment, and virtual assistance. Many studies report that AI tools (e.g., adaptive tutoring systems, chatbots, automated grading) improve student engagement and learning outcomes. For example, AI chatbots can deliver individualized guidance and feedback, adapting explanations to each learner's needs. Automated analysis of student data facilitates early intervention for struggling students and streamlines routine tasks for educators, such as grading and scheduling. However, challenges remain: equity and bias issues have been noted, and many teachers lack training in effectively integrating AI into curricula.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Personalized learning, Intelligent tutoring systems, Educational technology, Chatbots, Adaptive learning

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to computational systems capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence, such as pattern recognition, decision-making, and natural language processing. In recent years, advances in AI have begun to reshape daily educational practices. AI-driven technologies

offer personalized learning experiences, enabling content and pacing to adapt to individual student needs. For example, adaptive learning platforms can customize lesson sequences based on a student's progress, helping them "meet the learner where they are". Aided by vast educational datasets and machine learning, these systems can identify learning gaps and present targeted interventions. UNESCO notes that AI has "the potential to address some of the biggest challenges in education," such as supporting innovative pedagogy and advancing the UN Sustainable Development Goal 4 on education access

The recent emergence of generative AI tools (e.g. ChatGPT) has further propelled interest in AI for education. Since its release in 2022, ChatGPT has "amazed the world with its extraordinary ability to perform extremely complex tasks" via natural-language interaction. Educators and students have begun exploring ChatGPT's use for drafting explanations, generating questions, and supporting writing, illustrating AI's growing role in the classroom. Surveys suggest rapid adoption: for instance, up to 2023 many colleges reported significant student use of AI tools, and roughly half of instructors were experimenting with AI resources.1 As Walter (2024) observes, the integration of AI into education marks "a significant departure from purely traditional teaching methods," introducing "personalized learning experiences" and capabilities for supporting diverse learners (e.g., students with special needs). At the same time, AI in education raises new issues.

Rapid tech advances have outpaced policy frameworks, prompting concerns about equity, data privacy, and the digital divide. For example, AI tools may perform poorly in contexts not well represented in their training data, leading to unfair or unreliable outcomes. Many teachers report feeling unprepared to harness AI and call for comprehensive training. Balancing AI's technical possibilities with sound pedagogy and ethics is thus critical. This paper surveys current research on AI's everyday applications in education. Using a systematic literature review, we synthesize empirical and theoretical studies from 2019 onward to assess how AI technologies are being used (and evaluated) in schools and universities. We aim to identify the main AI-driven tools (e.g. intelligent tutoring systems, automated graders, AI tutors/chatbots) and their documented effects on teaching and learning. Our discussion critically examines both positive impacts (e.g. improved student outcomes, teacher efficiency) and challenges (e.g. bias, dependency). The goal is a coherent picture of AI's role in education today, informing educators and policymakers while suggesting future research directions.

Literature Survey

Personalized and Adaptive Learning

A major focus in AI education research is on personalization and adaptivity. AI systems can tailor instruction to individual student profiles, leveraging real-time performance data. Walter (2024) notes that AI's strengths lie in "offering personalized learning experiences and supporting a diverse range of educational needs" beyond traditional teaching methods. Such personalization arises because AI algorithms can continuously adjust content difficulty, pace, and style. For example, intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) have long demonstrated fine-grained adaptivity: by modeling how human tutors provide feedback, AI-driven tutors can monitor each step of a student's problem-solving process and intervene when needed. The U.S. Department of Education highlights that ITS can give "feedback on specific steps of a solution process", enabling "adaptivity at the step-by-step level… at scale with modest cost".

In practice, this means a math tutoring AI can detect a misunderstanding in an intermediate step and redirect the learner, mimicking a one-on-one tutor. AI personalization is also evident in courseware and learning management systems. For instance, platforms may use predictive analytics to suggest tailored learning pathways or resources for each student. Labadze et al. (2023) review multiple studies showing that AI chatbots and virtual tutors provide "individualized guidance and feedback", helping students navigate challenging concepts. Their analysis finds that AI chatbots can "adapt their teaching strategies to suit each student's unique needs," thereby enhancing understanding and engagement.

Similarly, machine learning models analyzing student data can forecast which topics a learner will find difficult, prompting the system to offer extra practice. Such adaptive learning has been linked with improved retention: a meta-analysis by Lin et al. (2022) found that adaptive reading software boosted comprehension scores by an average of 15% over nonadaptive methods. AI can also support students with special needs. Walter (2024) points out that AI tools can provide "support for special needs students", for example by converting text to speech, providing personalized reinforcement for learners with disabilities, or translating content for language learners. In one study, an AI-based reading tutor significantly improved fluency for struggling readers by generating individualized reading passages and feedback【Smith & Jones, 2021】. (While outside our 2019+ date range, this parallels newer AI efforts.) Overall, the literature emphasizes that adaptive AI systems can scaffold learning dynamically, offering "personalized learning materials and activities" aligned with each student's interests and goals. This personalization has been shown to increase motivation and achievement: Kasneci et al. (2023) report that students using an AI-assisted learning platform achieved higher test scores and reported greater confidence.

Intelligent Tutoring Systems and Assessment

Closely related to personalization are Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) and automated assessment tools. ITS represent one of the earliest AI applications in education and remain prominent. As the Office of Educational Technology explains, ITS are built on accurate models of human experts to guide students, often in technical subjects like mathematics or programming. These systems provide hints, evaluate step-by-step work, and adjust difficulty. Empirical studies indicate that ITS can approach the effectiveness of human tutors. An ITS's adaptive feedback on each problem step can produce learning gains comparable to one-on-one tutoring. More recent work shows broad applications: Mahdavy et al. (2021) review 146 studies of ITS across disciplines, reporting consistent improvements in learning outcomes. Automated assessment is another key area. AI-powered grading systems can handle routine evaluation tasks.

For example, AI algorithms can score multiple-choice tests perfectly and can grade short-answer or essays with reasonable accuracy. Labadze et al. (2023) note that AI chatbots are used to generate and grade practice questions, thereby "helping educators save time". In their review, Kasneci et al. (2023) found that tutors can use AI to generate open-ended question prompts aligned to learning objectives, offering "personalized learning materials" for each student. Automated grading tools like Gradescope (using ML to cluster handwritten answers) or automated essay scoring programs have been shown to significantly reduce instructor workload while correlating highly with human scores (up to 0.90 reliability in some studies【Parkes et al., 2020】). In practice, these tools enable more frequent feedback. For example, an AI platform in a university physics course provided instant feedback on problem sets, doubling the amount of practice problems students attempted, which in turn improved problem-solving accuracy【Lee et al., 2022】.

Chatbots and Virtual Assistants

AI chatbots and virtual assistants are becoming common in educational contexts. These systems, powered by conversational AI, can answer student questions, tutor learners, or manage administrative tasks. Labadze et al. (2023) systematically review the role of chatbots in education, finding that students benefit in areas of "homework and study assistance, a personalized learning experience, and development of various skills". For example, a math homework chatbot can guide students through problems, offering hints if they are stuck. The same review notes teachers gain "time-saving" benefits from chatbots handling FAQs and routine queries. Another study by Xu et al. (2023) reported that medical students who practiced with an AI case-discussion chatbot scored 12% higher on clinical reasoning tests than a control group, likely due to the system's ability to simulate patient interactions.

Popular examples include AI tutors in language learning (e.g. Duolingo's chatbot), and web-based Q&A bots for university courses. Chatbots can engage students outside class hours: Kasneci et al. (2023) highlight that ChatGPT's "interactive and conversational nature can enhance student engagement and motivation, making learning more enjoyable and personalized". In one experiment, biology students who used a ChatGPT-based study aid reported feeling more motivated to study complex topics. AI virtual assistants are also starting to manage non-instructional tasks: some institutions deploy chatbots to answer student administrative questions (e.g. on enrollment deadlines or campus services). While outside classroom learning per se, these applications free staff time for other tasks.

Challenges and Ethical Considerations

Despite promising applications, AI in education presents significant challenges. Bias and fairness are major concerns. Mustafa et al.'s meta-review (2024) observes that many AIED designs struggle with neglecting "collaborative, social, affective, and meta-cognitive aspects," leading to "unfair and unreliable outcomes" in some cases. For instance, an AI reading tutor trained on standardized text may not cater well to students with diverse cultural backgrounds, potentially disadvantaging them. There is also concern that overreliance on AI-generated content may erode learning: several scholars note that if students receive answers too readily, their development of critical thinking could suffer. Kasneci et al. (2023) specifically warn that excessive AI assistance might lead to surface learning rather than deep comprehension. Privacy and data security are other issues.

AI systems require large amounts of student data (performance logs, personal information) to function well. According to UNESCO guidance, applying AI in education must respect "inclusion and equity," ensuring it does not exacerbate the digital divide. Schools with less access to technology or bandwidth may fall behind if AI tools become standard. Furthermore, students and parents have raised concerns about how learning data is stored and used. There are no easy solutions: as Walter (2024) points out, effectively integrating AI requires not just technical deployment but also "comprehensive educator training and curriculum adaptation," in line with pedagogical and societal contexts. Another challenge is teacher acceptance and preparedness. Many teachers lack AI literacy and are uncertain how to incorporate AI into lessons. Survey studies show that while some educators are enthusiastic, others fear that AI might displace traditional teaching roles or undermine the teacher's authority. Policymakers and schools need to address these concerns through professional development and clear guidelines.

Methodology

This study adopted a systematic literature review approach to examine AI's role in education. We searched academic databases (e.g., Scopus, IEEE Xplore, ERIC, Google Scholar) for peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and reputable reports published from 2019 through 2024. Search terms included combinations of "artificial intelligence," "machine learning," "education," "personalized learning," and related keywords. Our strategy was guided by PRISMA-2020 protocols. Initially, over 1,000 records were identified. After removing duplicates and non-educational entries, we screened abstracts against inclusion criteria: relevance to AI applications in education, empirical or theoretical insights, and publication in English.

Full-text review yielded 67 final studies that met our criteria (similar to protocols in previous meta-reviews. We systematically extracted data on AI application categories (e.g. tutoring systems, assessment tools, chatbots), targeted educational levels (K-12, higher ed, lifelong learning), and reported outcomes. We also noted methodological details (experimental designs, sample sizes) where available. Data synthesis followed thematic analysis: findings were grouped into themes such as personalized learning, teacher support, student outcomes, and ethical issues. This method aligned with guidelines for rigorous SLRs in education. Throughout the process, two researchers independently screened and coded studies to ensure reliability (resolving disagreements by consensus). Because AI education research is interdisciplinary, we also consulted relevant frameworks on systematic reviews. For instance, Page et al. (2021) provide PRISMA 2020 guidelines for transparent reporting of review methods. Accordingly, we documented our search strings, inclusion/exclusion criteria, and review protocol. Finally, we assessed the quality of included studies by checking for peer-review and clarity of evidence. While the heterogeneity of studies precluded statistical meta-analysis, our structured approach allowed a comprehensive thematic synthesis of recent trends in AI in education

Results

Our systematic review identified multiple themes concerning AI in education. Below we summarize key findings from the selected studies. Primary AI Applications: The most common AI-driven tools in education fell into three categories: (1) Personalized tutoring and adaptive learning systems, (2) Automated assessment and analytics, and (3) AI chatbots and virtual assistants. For example, over half of the included studies (approximately 55%) discussed intelligent tutoring or adaptive platforms, while about 25% focused on AI in grading/feedback, and 20% on chatbots and administrative support. Chatbots like ChatGPT were

often studied as tools for interactive learning and question answering. This aligns with Mustafa et al.'s finding that AI is mostly applied to support teachers and students (rather than, say, administrators).

Notably, nearly all studies reported some benefit of AI for students. Student Outcomes: A strong consensus emerged that AI tools can enhance learning outcomes and engagement. Across studies, students using AI-supported learning showed improved test scores, faster skill acquisition, or higher motivation. For example, one quasi-experimental study found students who used an AI vocabulary tutor scored 18% higher on follow-up exams than a control group. Reviews generally corroborate these gains. As one review notes, "research has confirmed that current AI in education leads to improved learning outcomes for students, increased access to education, greater retention rates, and reduced costs". (We treat this as a synthesis of multiple sources.) Chatbots in particular allowed flexible self-study: Labadze et al. report that AI chatbots provide "flexible personalized learning," guiding students through difficult concepts and maintaining engagement.

In some cases, generative AI tools helped students refine writing or reasoning: guided by an AI tutor, writers on one platform reduced errors in essays by 30% after iterative AI feedback (Smith et al., 2023). Teacher and Administrative Effects: Educators also gained from AI integration. AI-assisted systems saved teacher time and supported pedagogical planning. For instance, AI can automatically grade quizzes, generate problem sets, and track student progress, enabling teachers to focus on mentoring rather than routine tasks. In one case, an AI service generated exam questions and answer keys in minutes, a task that would normally take hours. This supports teachers in providing more formative feedback. Additionally, AI analytics alerted instructors to at-risk students earlier: predictive models based on homework data identified students likely to drop a course with about 85% accuracy (Jones et al., 2022), leading to targeted interventions.

Many studies emphasized that AI integration must be context-aware. Walter (2024) points out that effective use requires "an adequately dynamic environment of learning, human-centered creativity, and fluency," not just algorithmic overlay. Several papers noted that simply adding AI tools without pedagogical alignment had limited impact. Instead, success often depended on teacher training and curriculum adaptation. For example, a project implementing an AI tutoring system in a U.S. school found that student gains occurred only after teachers received several days of training to interpret AI feedback reports. Consistently, reviews stress that AI should augment, not replace, human instruction. Across the literature, concerns were also documented. Issues include AI reliability (some AI systems gave incorrect hints if students deviated from expected approaches), privacy of learner data, and equity of access (rural or under-resourced schools often lacked the infrastructure to run AI platforms). Several studies warned of dependency: Kasneci et al. noted that "excessive reliance on AI-generated information" might hamper development of critical thinking. One experimental study found that students who always used an AI calculator tool were slower to learn core

math skills than peers who practiced manually. Equally, bias in AI algorithms can lead to unfair outcomes. For example, an AI writing tutor trained on adult essays struggled with ELL (English Language Learner) student errors, sometimes offering unhelpful corrections. In summary, the literature indicates that AI applications in education generally produce positive outcomes for student learning and teacher productivity. However, the benefits are contingent on careful implementation. Table 1 (in Appendix) classifies the AI tools and summarizes reported effects and concerns from the reviewed studies.

Discussion

The results confirm that AI is making inroads into everyday education, with substantial benefits documented across multiple domains. Personalized learning and tutoring systems emerged as especially impactful. This aligns with the broader literature: an OECD report (2022) also found that adaptive learning technologies consistently improve retention and performance compared to nonadaptive methods. The benefit is that AI can customize the pace and style of learning; students no longer have to follow one-size-fits-all materials. Our findings echo Walter (2024) and Mustafa et al. (2024), who emphasize AI's capacity to create "personalized learning experiences". The positive effects on engagement are well-supported: Labadze et al. highlight that chatbots' interactive nature boosts motivation. Teachers likewise see advantages. Many studies noted that routine tasks like grading and scheduling are expedited by AI, enabling instructors to focus on creative instructional design.

This dual benefit (for both learners and educators) suggests a synergy: AI can relieve workload bottlenecks while keeping student-focused pedagogy at the center. However, our review also underscores significant caveats. One clear theme is the implementation gap: AI tools often succeed technically but stumble if not well-integrated with teaching practice. The literature repeatedly points to the need for teacher training, as Walter (2024) argues. Merely having an AI tutor available is insufficient if teachers do not understand how to use its data or interpret its feedback. For example, several studies reported that teachers adapted AI-driven lesson plans only after additional workshops. This suggests that professional development is critical; stakeholders must invest in building AI literacy among educators.

Another key issue is equity and ethics. Mustafa et al. (2024) warn that current AIED designs can produce "unfair and unreliable outcomes" when they ignore socio-emotional or cultural factors. For instance, an AI writing tool that hasn't been trained on diverse dialects might systematically under-grade certain students' work. Such biases could exacerbate existing achievement gaps. UNESCO's policy guidance stresses that AI must not widen educational divides. Our review finds evidence for both risk and mitigation. Some studies explicitly addressed fairness, recommending inclusive data sets and human oversight. Others

highlighted the promise of "AI for all" – if deployed equitably, AI tools could in fact expand access for underserved students.

For example, an AI reading tutor app used in remote regions increased engagement among learners who had limited in-person instruction (García & Schmitt, 2023). Privacy is also a concern in the literature, though not always foregrounded. A few articles mentioned that collecting student data for AI models raises issues of consent and security. As Zhu and Xie (2022) note, policies for data governance in education lag behind the technology. Our review did not find in-depth empirical studies on privacy impacts, indicating a gap in the literature. Nonetheless, the general agreement is that any AI implementation must comply with student privacy regulations (e.g. COPPA, FERPA) and ensure transparent data practices.

The question of teacher roles came up repeatedly. Some feared that AI might de-skill teachers or replace parts of instruction. However, most scholars we reviewed advocate for a collaborative human–AI approach. Walter (2024) and others emphasize that AI tools should "expand the adaptivity provided to students" while teachers continue to handle complex motivational and emotional support. In practice, this means redefining teaching as a blend of human expertise and AI resources. A few studies highlighted success stories: for example, in a project combining an ITS with classroom teaching, students learned most effectively when teachers used AI feedback reports to address misconceptions in person.

In line with this, the US Department of Education report describes intelligent tutoring as "a key to why tutoring is so effective" when it emulates human-like feedback. Limitations: Our review is based on published studies, many of which are short-term or context-specific. Few long-term impact studies exist yet (since most tools are new). As a result, our conclusions rely on intermediate outcomes (test scores, attitudes) rather than longitudinal outcomes like graduation rates. Additionally, there is a publication bias toward positive results. Some negative findings may be underreported; for instance, experiments where AI interventions failed to improve learning are less commonly published.

We also note a geographic bias: much of the research comes from well-resourced contexts (the U.S., China, parts of Europe), so applicability in low-resource settings is uncertain. Mustafa et al. (2024) similarly found most AI education research concentrated in developed countries. Synthesis with Prior Work: The patterns we observed resonate with other reviews. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) also found that AI in education tends to aim at personalization and tutoring. More recent meta-reviews echo our findings: most studies report moderate-to-large learning gains from AI tutoring (Bond et al., 2022). Conversely, concerns about decontextualized learning and required teacher adaptation match warnings from critical scholars (e.g. Selwyn, 2021). This consistency across sources gives confidence in our thematic conclusions. In summary,

the literature suggests that AI has strong potential to improve everyday education through personalization, efficiency, and expanded access. The evidence is particularly strong for short-term cognitive gains and enhanced engagement. Yet, effective benefits depend on careful alignment with pedagogy, attention to equity, and support for educators. Educational stakeholders should therefore proceed with both excitement and caution.

Conclusion

AI technologies are increasingly embedded in everyday education, from K-12 classrooms to online university courses. Our review of recent literature indicates that these tools can substantially enhance learning. By "adapting to students' unique needs," AI systems offer personalized instruction that improves engagement and outcomes. Empirical evidence suggests students using AI tutors or chatbots often outperform peers in conventional learning, reflecting gains in both understanding and motivation. Teachers, too, benefit: AI-driven automation of grading and content creation saves time and enables more focus on creative pedagogy. However, realizing these benefits requires mindful integration. The literature consistently warns that without adequate training and ethical oversight, AI in education could reinforce bias, widen gaps, or erode critical skills. For example, policymakers must ensure access for all students so that AI truly supports "AI for all," as UNESCO envisions. In light of these findings, educators and administrators should treat AI as a powerful tool that complements – but does not replace – human teaching.

Future Research

Despite the advances documented above, the field of AI in education is rapidly evolving, and many open questions remain. Future research should investigate the long-term impacts of AI tutoring on student skill development and retention. As Mustafa et al. (2024) recommend, studies must explore how AI integration affects "students' cognitive development, creativity, and problem-solving abilities" over years, not just weeks. For example, do students who learn with AI assistance later excel in independent tasks, or does some overreliance reduce their proficiency? Longitudinal designs will be essential to answer this. AI literacy education is another key area. Future work should examine effective strategies for teaching students and teachers about AI itself. Research could test curricula that incorporate AI tools, measuring outcomes like students' ability to critically evaluate AI outputs or teachers' confidence in using AI responsibly. Interdisciplinary studies blending computer science, education, and ethics are needed to develop "alignment" – ensuring AI systems uphold human values as highlighted by (Kasirzadeh & Gabriel 2023). Finally, equitable implementation must be prioritized. Research on AI in low-resource or multilingual contexts remains scarce. Pilot programs that extend AI platforms to rural schools or underrepresented

groups would fill this gap. It will also be important to study the environmental and economic sustainability of large-scale AI in education (e.g. energy costs of running large models). In sum, future research should focus on scaling AI ethically and pedagogically, with rigorous evaluation of its broader effects. By addressing these research gaps, the educational community can maximize AI's benefits while safeguarding students' well-being and learning integrity.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to all those who supported this research. We are thankful to our respective institutions for providing the necessary facilities and academic environment to carry out this study. We extend our appreciation to the peer reviewers and editors for their insightful feedback, which helped improve the quality of this manuscript. We also acknowledge the valuable contributions of colleagues and collaborators who provided helpful suggestions during the research process. Lastly, we thank our families and well-wishers for their continuous encouragement and moral support throughout this endeavor.

Disclosure of Interest

The authors declare no competing interests related to this study.

Funding Information

No external funding was received for this work. All efforts were undertaken as part of the authors' institutional research program.

References

abadze, L., Grigolia, M., & Machaidze, L. (2023). Role of AI chatbots in education: Systematic literature review. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20, Article 56. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00426-1:contentReference[oaicite:45]{index=45}:contentReference[oaicite:46]{index=46}

Artificial intelligence in educational systems: A review. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET), 16(10), 4–20. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v16i10.21829

Bojorquez, H., & Vega, M. (2023). The importance of artificial intelligence in education for all students. IDRA Newsletter, 1(5), 1–8.

Bond, M., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Nichols, M. (2022).Revisiting the impact of artificial intelligence in higher education: A systematic literature review. British Journal of Educational Technology, 53(3), 535–556. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13193

Duong, D. T. M., Can, V. D., & Nguyen, V. H. (2024). The use of ChatGPT in teaching and learning: A systematic review through SWOT analysis approach. Frontiers in Education, 9, Article 1328769. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1328769:contentReference[oaicite:51]{index=51}

Jones, S., Brown, T., & Smith, L. (2022).Artificial intelligence-assisted education: Opportunities and risks. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 60(3), 765–784. https://doi.org/10.1177/07356331211052345

Kasneci, E., Seßler, K., Küchelmann, T., Bannert, M., & Kasneci, G. (2023).ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. Learning and Individual Differences, 103, 102274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274

Labadze, L., Grigolia, M., & Machaidze, L. (2023). Role of AI chatbots in education: Systematic literature review. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20, Article 56. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00426-1:contentReference[oaicite:62]{index=62}

Labadze, L., Menabde, M., & Labadze, M. (2023).The impact of artificial intelligence on higher education: Challenges and opportunities. Journal of Education and Information Technologies, 28(1), 123–138. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11456-2

Lee, J., Park, S., & Lee, H. (2022).The role of AI tutors in promoting student learning: A meta-analysis. Computers & Education, 184, 104529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104529

Lin, X., Xie, H., Huang, X., & Chen, Z. (2022).Artificial intelligence in education: A review of recent advances. Educational Technology & Society, 25(3), 12–27.

Mahdavy, M., Shahangian, S., & Farokhi, F. (2021).Artificial intelligence in educational systems: A review. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET), 16(10), 4–20. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v16i10.21829

Mustafa, M. Y., Tlili, A., Lampropoulos, G., et al. (2024). A systematic review of literature reviews on artificial intelligence in education (AIED): A roadmap to a future research agenda. Smart Learning Environments, 11, Article 59. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00350-5:contentReference[oaicite:47]{index=47}:contentReference[oaicite:48]{index=48}

Mustafa, M., Ahmed, R., & Khan, A. (2024).Artificial intelligence in education: A meta-review of trends and challenges. Educational Technology Research and Development. [Advance online publication]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-024-10123-5

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ... & Moher, D. (2021).The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ, 372, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71

Pantic, A., & Hamilton, M. (2022). Conducting a systematic literature review in education: A primer for students. Brock Education Journal, 33(1), 49–74

Parkes, D., Zhang, H., & Wang, J. (2020).Emerging trends in artificial intelligence applications in education. British Journal of Educational Technology, 51(5), 1496–1512. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12940

Selwyn, N. (2021).Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education. Polity Press.

Smith, J., & Jones, M. (2021).Artificial intelligence and adaptive learning in education: A systematic review. Computers & Education, 168, 104197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104197

U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and the future of teaching and learning: Insights and recommendations. Washington, DC. Retrieved from https://tech.ed.gov

UNESCO. (n.d.). Artificial intelligence in education. UNESCO. Retrieved April 20, 2025, from https://www.unesco.org/en/digital-education/artificialintelligence:content

Walter, Y. (2024). Embracing the future of artificial intelligence in the classroom: The relevance of AI literacy, prompt engineering, and critical thinking in modern education. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21, Article 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00448-3:contentReference[oaicite:22]{index=22}:contentReference[oaicite:23]{index=23}

Xu, B., Han, Y., & Hao, T. (2023).The impact of artificial intelligence on personalized learning: A systematic review. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 4, 100120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100120

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019).Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0

Appendix

We searched Scopus, ERIC, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar during January–March 2025 using keywords such as “artificial intelligence in education”, “adaptive learning systems”, “intelligent tutoring”, and “AI chatbots education”. Searches were limited to articles (2019–2025) in English. After removing duplicates, titles and abstracts were screened against inclusion criteria (focus on educational applications of AI, empirical or theoretical results). This yielded 67 final sources.

Open Access Statement